

David Glen

Call: 2002

E: David.Glen@11kbw.com

T: +44 (0)20 7632 8500



David's practice encompasses the full ambit of media, entertainment and information law, including major commercial matters.

He has appeared in many of the highest profile defamation and privacy actions of recent years and consistently acts on behalf of leading firms of solicitors in the field and for a large number of the most prominent media organisations and companies (including Associated Newspapers, Google, Facebook, TikTok, Guardian News & Media, Channel 4 and Times Newspapers).

Equally highly regarded for his work on breach of confidence and data protection matters, he has represented FTSE100 companies, public bodies and the media in cases heard before both the courts and the Information Tribunal. David also holds a very substantial practice in the fields of public and entertainment law, where he regularly acts on behalf of the media and communications regulator, Ofcom. He also acted for HM Government in the successful defence of the two most important UK media law cases to reach the European Court of Human Rights in recent years.

David is ranked as a leading junior by both Chambers & Partners and the Legal 500 in each of his three practice areas: (i) Defamation and Privacy, (ii) Data Protection, and (iii) Media & Entertainment law.



His advocacy is very good too.

CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS 2014

Expertise

Recent cases

R v Ofcom (ex parte GB News)

[2025] 1 WLR 3164

David acted for Ofcom in an important judicial review brought by GB News over findings that it had breach of the due impartiality requirements of Section 5 of the Broadcasting Code by using politicians to present news updates on the channel. The use of politicians in such roles (and Ofcom's decision to find a number of programmes in breach of the Broadcasting Code as a result) became a highly controversial topic. The judicial review was upheld in a judgment of Collins-Rice J of February 2025 and Ofcom has recently issued updated guidance to broadcaster.

Eurasian Natural Mineral Resources v Serious Fraud Office & others (2025)

Counsel for the former FTSE100 company, ENRC, in a much-publicised claim brought by ENRC against the UK's Serious Fraud Office, along with a former case controller of the SFO's investigation into ENRC and a serving SFO officer. ENRC contends that defendants have repeatedly leaked sensitive and confidential information about the SFO's investigation into the company to the media. It brings claims for misfeasance in public office, breach of confidence and unlawful means conspiracy against the SFO and the other defendants.

R v Ofcom (ex parte Mark Steyn)

[2024] EWHC 2028 (ADMIN)

Counsel for Ofcom in high-profile judicial review proceedings brought by the former GB News presenter, Mark Steyn. Mr Syen challenged two findings by Ofcom that two episodes of the Mark Steyn Show breached Section 2 of the Broadcasting Code regarding harmful content. Both programmes involved Mr Steyn criticising the safety and efficacy of the Covid vaccine programme. Ofcom's decisions were upheld in a judgment of Farbey J in July 2024.

Charlotte Kirk & Others v Associated Newspapers (2023)

[2023] EWHC 1014 (KB)

Counsel for Associated Newspapers in defence of a defamation claim brought by the British actress, Charlotte Kirk, and two film producers (Neil Marshall and Joshua Newton) over the MailOnline's coverage of their alleged dealings with various leading Hollywood film executives.

R (ex parte Star China Media Limited) v Ofcom (2022)

[2022] EWHC 3136 (ADMIN)

Counsel for Ofcom in ongoing judicial review proceedings brought by Star China Media Limited, the former licence-holder for the China Global Television Network channel in the UK. Star China's licence was revoked in 2021 over concerns regarding the level of Chinese state control and lack of editorial independence. Its judicial review was dismissed by Swift J in a judgment of October 2022. The Court of Appeal will hear Star China's appeal against that decision in July 2023.

Eurasian Natural Resources Corporation Limited v Akejan Qajygeldin (2021)

Counsel for ENRC in a breach of confidence claim between ENRC and the former Prime Minister of Kazakhstan, Akejan Qajygeldin, over the alleged misuse of ENRC's confidential and sensitive information.

Shahbaz Sharif v Associated Newspapers Limited (2022)

Counsel for ANL in respect of high-profile defamation proceedings brought by the current Prime Minister of Pakistan, Shahbaz Sharif, over a Mail on Sunday article which reported on a major criminal investigation in Pakistan into allegations of large-scale fraud and embezzlement.

R (Free Speech Union and Toby Young) v Ofcom (2021)

[2020] EWHC 3390 (ADMIN)

Counsel for Ofcom in a judicial review brought by the Free Speech Union and its General Secretary, Toby Young, over guidance which Ofcom issued to broadcasters at the outset of the Coronavirus pandemic, as well as a number of subsequent decisions in which Ofcom had considered whether broadcasters were in breach of the Broadcasting Code in respect of Coronavirus-related programming.

The claimants argued that the guidance and decisions involved Ofcom acting outside of its powers as the UK's media regulator and in a manner which violated Article 10. The challenge was dismissed by Fordham J in a judgment of December 2020.

Eurasian Natural Mineral Resources v Mark Hollingsworth [2021]

Counsel for ENRC in proceedings brought against a London-based investigative journalist and author, Mark Hollingsworth. ENRC accused Hollingsworth of leaking confidential documents and data which had been unlawfully obtained from its IT systems to various media organisations and interested parties in the private intelligence sector. The claim was one of The Lawyer magazine's top 20 cases of 2021. It was settled shortly before trial in October 2021.

Sicri v Associated Newspapers (2020)

[2020] EWHC 3541, [2020] 4 WLR 9, QBD, DECEMBER 21 2020

Counsel for Associated Newspapers in an important privacy judgment which considered whether a man arrested on suspicion of terrorist involvement and subsequently released without charge had a reasonable expectation of privacy in respect of reporting of his arrest. The case specifically analysed the circumstances in which reputational damages can be claimed in the tort of misuse of private information in the absence of a claim for defamation, as well as the correct approach to causation in the context of a publication claim brought in MPI.

R (Autonomous Non-Profit Organisation TV-Novosti) v Office of Communications

[2022] 1 WLR 481, [2021] EWCA CIV 1534, CA

Counsel for Ofcom in a high-profile judicial review brought by the licensee of Russia Today (RT) over various decisions in which the channel was found to have breached the 'due impartiality' standard under the Broadcasting Code. RT argued that those findings violated its freedom of expression by failing to take account of the channel's niche position in the market and the dominant media narrative surrounding the subject of the relevant programming. The claim was rejected by the Divisional Court in 2021 and again by the Court of Appeal in 2022.

Brewdog v Frank Public Relations Limited (2020)

(2020) EWHC 1276 (QB)

Counsel for the public relations company, Frank PR, in the successful defence of a libel, negligent misstatement and economic tort action brought by the UK craft brewery, Brewdog, over a controversial advertising campaign which offered free beer to supporters of Donald Trump. Brewdog alleged that a press release which Frank PR issued in this regard was negligent, damaged its reputation and had caused it economic loss in excess of £200,000. The claim was struck out in May 2020.

Kennedy v National Trust for Scotland (2019)

[2019] EWCA CIV 648, [2019] EMLR 19

Counsel for the Defendant in an important Court of Appeal judgment on conflict of laws in a publication claim. In particular, the judgment clarified that an English court is not precluded by the CJEU's decision in *Owusu v Jackson* from applying the doctrine of forum non conveniens and staying proceedings brought against a Scottish defendant in defamation and under the DPA on the basis that Scotland was the more appropriate forum.

Tamiz v United Kingdom (2017)

Counsel for the UK Government in an important Article 8 case which endorsed the UK's approach to online intermediary liability and the wider balance to be struck between the rights of claimants and publishers in claims for reputational damage.

Deman v Associated Newspapers Limited (2017)

Counsel for ANL in respect of a claim which was successfully struck out under the single publication rule.

Prince Moulay Hicham v Elaph Publishing (2016)

Counsel for the defendant in relation to defamation and data protection proceedings brought by the Moroccan Prince, Moulay Hicham.

Martin Lewis v Facebook (2018)

Counsel for Facebook in respect of defamation proceedings brought by the very well-known financial expert and commentator, Martin Lewis, over Facebooks asserted liability for fake adverts which were being published in his name on the social media platform.

Optical Express Limited v Associated Newspapers Limited (2017)

Counsel for ANL in defence of a multi-million pound claim in defamation and malicious falsehood claim which was brought by the high-street optical healthcare provider, Optical Express.

Tamalt v Google UK Limited (2016)

Counsel for the defendant in a claim which is predicated on the disputed removal of content from YouTube under the latter website's copyright policy.

Gaunt v United Kingdom (2016)

Counsel for the UK Government in proceedings brought by a broadcaster and journalist before the European Court of Human Rights in respect of an alleged violation of Art 10 of the ECHR. The claim was successfully defended.

Richardson v Google (2015)

Counsel for the defendant in response to a claim which sought to impose liability in defamation and under Article 8 on Google UK for third-party content posted on Blogger.com and Google+. The claim was struck out in June 2015, a decision which was upheld on appeal by Warby J.

R v William Cornick (2014)

Counsel for various media organisations in respect of a successful application to lift an order imposed under s.39 Children & Young Persons Act 1933

Jill Finney v Care Quality Commission (2014)

Counsel for the defendant national healthcare regulator. The claim in defamation and under Art 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998 was brought by the CQC's former Deputy CEO and concerned the findings of a report compiled into events surrounding the organisation's regulation of the Morecambe Bay Hospital scandal.

Nathaniel Rothschild v Associated Newspapers Ltd (2013)

Counsel for ANL in the successful defence of a libel action brought by the well-known international financier over an article in the Daily Mail which focused on the circumstances in which Lord Mandelson attended a dinner hosted by the Russian oligarch Oleg Deripaska. ANL succeeded in its defence of truth at trial in January 2012 and in the Court of Appeal in March 2013.

News International Voicemail Interception Compensation Scheme (2012-15)

David was appointed to act as Independent Scheme Barrister representing applicants bringing claims under the compensation scheme established by News International (including for the applicants in the first claims to be subject to formal adjudication by the former High Court judge, Sir Charles Gray).

Dar Al Arkan & Bank Alkhair v Al Refai & oths (2014)

Counsel for the claimants in a \$1 billion claim for damages which was brought in defamation, breach of confidence, malicious falsehood and unlawful conspiracy.

Sheikh Nasser bin Al-Shathri v Guardian News & Media Limited (2013)

Counsel for Guardian News & Media in a libel action brought by a prominent Saudi Arabian cleric.

Bob Crow v Boris Johnson (2012)

Counsel for the then Mayor of London, Boris Johnson, in the successful defence of a libel action brought by the leader of the RMT Union, Bob Crow, in respect of claims made in election posters which were distributed by Mr Johnson for the 2012 London mayoral election.

Advanced Hair Studios v BBC (2012)

Counsel for the BBC in successfully resisting a claim in libel and malicious falsehood.

In the Matter of Mobile Voicemail Interception Litigation (Guardian News & Media & the BBC intervening) (2012)

Counsel for Guardian News & Media and the BBC in a successful application under CPR 5.4 and the court's inherent jurisdiction for access to documents which had been referred to by the parties in the phone hacking litigation.

The Leveson Inquiry

(2011) – David represented the Guardian News & Media at the public inquiry into the culture, practices and ethics of the press.

Azad Ali v Associated Newspapers Ltd

(2010) – Counsel for ANL successfully defending a libel which was brought over articles in the Mail on Sunday and Daily Mail. Summary judgment was awarded to ANL following a hearing in January 2010 on the basis that a jury would have been perverse to hold that the meaning borne by the article was not substantially true.

Stephen Gee KC v Information Commissioner & BBC (2012)

Counsel for the BBC in proceedings before the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) successfully arguing that the BBC's designation under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 meant that the BBC was not obliged to comply with the appellant's request for information under FOIA into the circumstances surrounding a Panorama broadcast.

R v Ofcom, ex parte Gaunt

(2011) – Counsel for Ofcom in judicial review proceedings brought by the former Talksport radio presenter Jon Gaunt. Mr Gaunt argued that a finding by Ofcom that an interview which he had conducted breached the Broadcasting Code was an unjustified interference with freedom of expression. Ofcom's decision was upheld by both the Divisional Court and the Court of Appeal.

Budu v BBC

(2010) – Counsel for the BBC in a libel action brought in respect of a number of hyperlinked articles which were stored in the archive of the BBC website. Summary judgment was granted to the BBC.

North London Central Mosque Trust v Policy Exchange & Anr

(2009) – Counsel for Policy Exchange in an action was successfully struck out on the grounds that the claimant lacked requisite legal capacity to maintain a claim for libel.

Taranissi v BBC

(2009) – Counsel for the BBC in a major libel action brought by a prominent IVF clinician in respect of a Panorama investigation into the IVF industry in the UK.

Condoco Grand Cayman & Ryan v KYC News

(2007) – Counsel for the Claimant in a major libel action brought in the Cayman Islands by the developer of the island's largest hotel and condominium development.

Achievements

Publications and Seminars

Author, Arlidge, Eady & Smith on Contempt, 5th edition, 2017

Author, Atkin's Court Forms on Defamation

Education

MA (Hons) History (First Class), University of Edinburgh

Post-graduate law diploma (Distinction), The College of Law

Queen Mother Scholarship (Middle Temple)

Member of the Bar Pro Bono Unit

Awards

Previous winner of Chambers & Partners Defamation & Privacy Junior of the Year

Nominated for numerous awards in recent editions of the Legal 500 UK Bar Awards, including:

- IP, IT and Media Junior of the year (2020)
- Technology and Data junior of the year (2022)
- Media and the Arts Junior of the Year (2023 & 2024)

Shortlisted for the first edition of Chambers and Partners "Top 100", a ranking of the top 100 junior barristers practicing across all fields at the England and Wales Bar.



An outstanding barrister. His command of the law is exceptional. He delivers strategic and commercial advice in a clear, accessible way. His advocacy is strong.

LEGAL 500, DEFAMATION AND PRIVACY, 2026



He's extremely calm, extremely knowledgeable and extremely likeable.

CHAMBERS & PARTNERS 2020



An exceptional barrister, who possesses the perfect balance of an outstanding intellect and a solid grasp of the commercial realities of his cases

LEGAL 500, 2021



He possesses the rare combination of being exceptionally bright and extremely personable

LEGAL 500, 2022



David is incredibly knowledgeable, sophisticated and a great leader on cases.

CHAMBERS & PARTNERS, DEFAMATION AND PRIVACY, 2026



Incredibly bright. Where data protection meets defamation and/or misuse of private information, David is one of the very best juniors to turn to.

LEGAL 500, DEFAMATION AND PRIVACY, 2023



A fantastic barrister. Unfailingly across all aspects of a case. Outstanding attention to detail and focus on the bigger picture. Always available and hugely insightful. He can be relied on 100%

LEGAL 500, 2022



Highly intelligent, strategically astute and extremely personable, he draws on a deep knowledge and understanding of the law and is forensic in his analysis of complex legal issues

LEGAL 500, 2021



He has a superb intellect, is very commercial and is top of the senior junior tree.

CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS 2016