The outdoor café campaign

There is not a publican alive who is not praying to all that is holy for a 4th July re-opening. And doesn't the date have a special resonance, with an aroma of liberty, courage and festivity?

It is of course recognised that most venues are going to need at least an element of outside drinking to pay the bills for reasons which will be clear to anyone reading this journal. Hence admirable campaigns such as Alan Lorrimer's UK Grand Summer Outdoor Café (https://www.ukgsoc.org/).

But, among all the chatter about whether, where and when, there is little discussion about how. It is over a fortnight since the Minister for Housing and Local Government Robert Jenrick said he was considering permitting outside drinking in pedestrianised areas. But a fortnight is an awfully long time in politics, and the radio silence since is deafening.

It is possible that the Minister has discovered that translating desire into action is tricky in a complicated legislative field, even though Sir Humphrey's shoes are perhaps now filled by the redoubtable Dominic Cummings or one of his appointed misfits. So, let me help. If the government listens, it will get town centres up and running next month. If it doesn't, then unless it has a better plan (and if it does I sincerely doff my wig) we're looking at midautumn at least. Pessimistically, it might be all over for the industry by Christmas.

The basic problem is that to trade out of doors, three separate consents are needed: planning permission, which is required by some authorities; tables and chairs licences and a premises licence. The first takes at least two months. The second takes up to three months and can cost several thousand pounds. The third may require a hearing, in which case the delay is also two months and frequently requires lawyers. The whole is a bureaucratic nightmare which generally requires manicure by machete, but that is for another day.

A further issue is that even if the government could deregulate with a single sweep of its hand, it would not do so, because it cannot and should not tell local authorities exactly where they can permit exterior drinking. This needs to remain a matter of local control. Therefore, there needs to be a careful balance between lubricating the process and disabling the brakes entirely.

This looks like an imbroglio. It is, but there is a solution, which goes like this.

First, planning.

The Town and Country Planning Act 1990 gives the Secretary of State power to grant a general planning permission by a development order, whether unconditionally or subject to conditions. He recently exercised this power to permit restaurants and bars to trade as takeaways for a year. It involves no Parliamentary process or public consultation.

He should now exercise the power to grant planning permission for the use of outside areas for all exterior consumption of food and drink on condition that it is supplied either a) under and in accordance with a table and chairs licence or b) on Council land. In the former case, supply would be governed by the terms of the tables and chairs licence. In the latter, it would be governed by a contract between the Council and the operator: this would work well in public squares and parks.

The beauty of this proposal is that it obviates the local planning process entirely, while still leaving local control in the hands of local authorities.

It has been reported that the Mr Jenrick is considering granting blanket permission for tables and chairs in pedestrianised areas. But many premises do not trade in pedestrianised areas. However, given that local control will remain under the tables and chairs licence, he can afford to grant planning permission without any locational restriction at all.

Second, table and chairs licences

The benefit of making the table and chairs licence the principal form of control is that there is no statutory fee, no need for a licence hearing and the power to decide applications can be delegated to officers. However, some authorities charge very large fees. Others take too long to decide applications, far more than the 28 day consultation period written into the statute.

The solution is for the Secretary of State to publish non-statutory guidance, the main elements of which would be:

 Authorities should set out a simplified set of requirements for applicants, for example supervision of the exterior area, a minimum distance of one metre between tables in accordance with World Health Organisation guidance, minimum clearance for pedestrians and storage of tables at night.

- Rather than devising their own conditions, applicants should be able to confirm in their application that they will meet the authority's requirements. If the licence is granted, these requirements will then be imposed by condition.
- Applications for trade within social hours (say 10 a.m. to 10 p.m.) will carry a presumption in favour of grant.
- Applications should be determined by officers as soon as the consultation period has expired.
- Given the simplified system for applications, fees should generally be restricted to £100.

This will enable the very rapid determination of large numbers of applications, with successful applicants trading to common standards, so aiding compliance monitoring and enforcement.

Third, premises licences

Premises licences permitting off-sales should be used to provide alcohol for exterior consumption. In some cases, there will be conditions which are appropriate for interior consumption, such as use of identification systems and door supervision which will be unnecessary for exterior supply.

The solution should be for applicants to be able to relax conditions for exterior supply only by way of application for minor variation. The consultation process for such applications takes only ten working days.

Meanwhile, the Secretary of State can publish national guidance under the Licensing Act without any Parliamentary process at all. He should use this power to exhort authorities to accept minor variation applications to release onerous conditions in so far as these relate to exterior drinking, particularly since the relevant requirements should already be contained on the tables and chairs licences.

Many premises will fall within cumulative impact areas. The guidance should explain that the Secretary of State does not consider that cumulative impact policies should be used to bar the ability for premises to supply alcohol until 10 p.m. pursuant to standard conditions imposed under a table and chairs licence.

The guidance should also encourage statutory authorities not to use their powers to object to minor variation applications, but should work in partnership with operators to deal with any issues arising.

There are reports of the Police being concerned about exterior drinking. However, the function of the Police is not to prevent an important social and economic development but to use their resources wisely to protect the public. Furthermore, physical distancing within premises will mean far less crime to police indoors, and put most activity on the street where it can be clearly supervised, witnessed and overseen by CCTV.

It is now noticeable on town and city streets that the absence of trading premises is bringing out new forms of unlawful and anti-social behaviour. It is strongly in the public interest that the streets are reclaimed by experienced, licensed operators for the benefit of the public at large.

This public health crisis has tested the government on many levels. The latest, and perhaps last, test is whether it can relight the touch paper of our economy. To do so, it will need to display the courage and determination of the American revolutionaries. Here's hoping it rises to the challenge.

Philip Kolvin QC is a licensing barrister and an Associate Fellow at Westminster University's Centre for Law, Society and Popular Culture.